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1. Introduction of members and visitors

Members present: Nina Schneider, Clark Library, UCLA (chair); Marcia Barrett, University of California-Santa Cruz; Valerie Buck, Brigham Young University; Lori Dekydspotter, The Lilly Library, Indiana University; Christine DeZelar-Tiedman, University of Minnesota; Emily Epstein, University of Colorado Health Sciences Library; Matthew Haugen, Columbia University; Ryan Hildebrand, Harry Ransom Center (Controlled Vocabularies editor); Linda Isaac, California State University, Fullerton; Francis Lapka, Yale Center for British Art Rare Books & Manuscripts; Deborah J. Leslie, Folger Shakespeare Library; Michelle Mascaro, The
University of Akron (secretary); Margaret Nichols, Cornell University; Audrey Pearson, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Aislinn Sotelo, University of California San Diego; Catherine Uecker, University of Chicago

Liaisons: William La Moy, Syracuse University (RBMS Executive Committee liaison).

Visitors: Collen Barrett, Indiana University; Matthew Beacom, Yale University; Morag Boyd, The Ohio State University; Randal Brandt, Bancroft Library, University of California Berkley; Jane Carpenter, UCLA; Jackie Dooley, OCLC; Diane Ducharme, Yale University; Jane Gillis, Yale University; Jessica Grzegorski, Newberry Library; Nancy Kandoian, New York Public Library; Megan Kelly, Newberry Library; James Larrabee, University of California Berkley Law Library; Martha Lawler, Louisiana State University, Shreveport; Nancy Lorimer, Stanford University; Ann Myers, Stanford University; Jennifer Nelson, Robbins Collection, University of California Berkley; Allison O’Dell, University of Miami; Asheleigh Perry, Georgetown University; Jennifer Schaffner, OCLC; Susan Sundquist, Getty Research Institute; Amy Tims, American Antiquarian Society

Members excused: Melanie McGurr, Northeast Ohio Medical University.

2. Settlement of the agenda

No changes were made to the agenda.

3. Approval of Midwinter 2014 minutes

The Midwinter 2014 minutes were approved unanimously.

4. Announcements

a. ACRL CC:DA liaison (Schneider)

Francis Lapka is rotating off as the Bibliographic Standards Committee (BSC) liaison to CC:DA [Committee on Cataloging: Description and Access]. Since ACRL needs a representative to CC:DA, it was decided that BSC’s Matthew Haugen will replace Lapka, serving as liaison to CC:DA at the division level.

b. Update of Directory of Web Resources for the Rare Materials Cataloger (Schneider).

Larry Creider is working on an update to the Directory of Web Resources for the Rare Materials Cataloger, which will be submitted following the conference and appended to the minutes.

c. Other announcements
Schneider then opened the floor to other announcements. Randy Brandt announced that the DCRM(B) third printing is officially out of print and a PDF version is now freely available on the RBMS website.

5. DCRM(M): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Music) (Lorimer)

Nancy Lorimer reported the DCRM(M) text is currently at Library of Congress. BSC’s LC liaison, Kate James, recently returned comments--the majority of which related to the preface--to the DCRM(M) Editorial Team. Lorimer asked the group their opinion on James’s suggestion to include an example of a 264 in the MARC appendix. The group felt that including such an example would not be difficult and were in favor of doing so. Schneider announced that the description convention source code “dcrmm” has already been approved by LC and added by OCLC, so catalogers will be able to use it as soon as DCRM(M) is published. Lorimer mentioned that DCRM(M) instructions were included in the latest update to the BIBCO Standard Record (BSR) in preparation for DCRM(M)’s final publication.

6. DCRM(C): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Cartographic) (Brandt)

Brandt reported the DCRM(C) Editorial Team is in final stages of prepping the text for review. They are currently focusing on finishing the glossary and hope to submit the text to LC in July. The editorial team will ask the BSC to conduct a close read as well as offer the text to the Map and Geospatial Information Round Table (MAGIRT) for comment. Deborah J. Leslie recommend, as a matter of courtesy, to also offer the text to CC:DA and the Society of American Archivists (SAA) for comment. The description convention source code “dcrmc” has been submitted to LC for approval. Brandt asked about the need to index DCRM(C). Schneider responded that if the editorial team felt that a professional index was warranted, BSC can request funds from the RBMS Executive Committee.

7. DCRM(MSS): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Manuscripts) (Nichols)

Margaret Nichols reported that DCRM(MSS) had a public hearing at Midwinter and thanked Erin Blake for loaning the editorial team her projector for the event. The DCRM(MSS) Editorial Team has finished responding to all the comments generated from the public hearing. Nichols also thanked the Folger Shakespeare Library for hosting the team’s in-between ALA conferences meetings. The two areas of the DCRM(MSS) text left to finish are the glossary and appendices.

8. Controlled Vocabularies Subcommittee (Hildebrand)

Ryan Hildebrand summarized yesterday’s Controlled Vocabularies meeting. The subcommittee was able to complete their work in their first schedule session. Controlled Vocabularies is looking at a new SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organization System) enabled vocabulary system that will support linked data for the Controlled Vocabularies.
Hildebrand asked Schneider if it would be okay to ask Erin Miller for feedback on the software they are considering.

The Controlled Vocabularies subcommittee also looked at obstacles to consolidating the thesauruses. Issues include terms that appear in multiple thesauri and terms that are preferred terms in one thesaurus but are used for reference in another. Hildebrand will run through the vocabularies and compile a list of these problematic terms. A task force will be established to deal with these problems and create the new SKOS enabled vocabulary.

a. **BSC approval of terms**

The following new relationship designator was approved:

**Interlocutor**

Scope Note: Use for an entity that takes part in a dialogue, conversation, or discussion. Do not use for participants in interviews, debates, or disputations.

Used For: Conversant

**Zinester**

Scope Note: Use for the entity responsible for creating, publishing, and/or distributing a zine.

The following genre term was approved:

**Anatomical flap books**

Scope Note: Use for books containing anatomical illustrations that, through the use of movable flaps, provide layered images of the body.

Used For: Flap anatomies

Broader Term: Illustrated works

Broader Term: Scientific works

b. **Co-chairmanship**

In the spring, BSC approved a proposal to have two editors of Controlled Vocabularies: one in charge of technical issues and one in charge of content. The technical editor will be a member of the RBMS Publications Committee and the content editor will be a member of BSC.

Schneider then deviated from the agenda order to discuss the related proposal to establish Controlled Vocabularies as a separate editorial group (agenda item 10a). On the whole, the response was highly favorable to establishing Controlled Vocabularies as a separate group, with a formalized membership. Some advantages noted were that having a formalized membership would help Controlled Vocabularies members make the case for institutional funding to attend meetings, as
well as, ensure that the Controlled Vocabularies committee had more rigorous commitments from people needed to complete longer projects. Aislinn Sotelo expressed concern that if Controlled Vocabularies is a separate appointment that counted against ALA’s limit of three committee appointments, it may prevent people, such as herself, who have been participating in the Controlled Vocabularies to formally join the committee due to other committee commitments. In response, Brandt reminded the group that people, who are non-voting members, can still come to Controlled Vocabularies meeting and do work for the committee.

During the discussion, Brandt raised the question whether BSC will still vote to approve Controlled Vocabulary terms under the new structure. Schneider responded that this was still under discussion. Brandt commented that since of late BSC has approved terms with limited discussion that the committee should be comfortable not needing to vote on each Controlled Vocabulary term. Hildebrandt stated that one possibility being considered is revising the Controlled Vocabularies workflow to include a comment period for proposed terms. Several people expressed support for this idea.

Following discussion of the merits of the proposal, Schneider presented the draft charge for the independent Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group. Several recommended changes were made. Due to exceeding the allotted time for this agenda item, Schneider closed the discussion with the promise to send out the charge to the listserv for further comment and editing. Once the charge is finalized and approved by the BSC, it will be forwarded on to Exec. for approval. A copy of the draft charge is appended to the end of these minutes.

9. Revision of Standard Citation Forms for Rare Book Cataloging (Barrett)

Barrett briefly presented the Standard Citation Forms (SCF) sandbox ([http://www.rbms.info/scfsandbox/](http://www.rbms.info/scfsandbox/)) interface to the group. The team has had two close readings of the introductory text, and ultimately chose not to do a close reading of the 900+ citations. These citations have been carefully reviewed by the editorial team, who is confident with the results.

At this point, the team has fulfilled their charge to nail down working principles and revise citations from the second edition. At a presentation team members gave on the SCF interface at the recent RBMS Preconference, there were several suggestions made for additional work going forward. Future enhancements include adding links to full text for sources that are freely available online and creating a list of citation forms that changed in this revision. Barrett then asked the BSC for official approval to formally publish the SCF on the RBMS website.

Leslie asked whether the team was planning on maintaining citation numbers for current and new citation entries. On the sandbox site, an entry’s citation number appears at the bottom in the same grey box as the previous citation form. Barrett answered that the team maintained numbering from old citations, to help with their revision work, and plan to
assign numbers to new citations to serve as database identifiers. Discussion ensued about how much prominence citation numbers should be given on the site and whether citation numbers are truly necessary in the online environment. General consensus was that the citation numbers serve mostly historical purposes. Barrett will investigate whether the citation URLs fulfill the need to have a unique database identifier.

BSC voted to approve SCF for publication.

10. Discussion about Editorial Group Structure (Schneider)

   a. Controlled Vocabularies--Discussed under 8b.
   b. DCRM/RDA Revision Group
   c. Standard Citation Forms

Schneider then continued to the discussion of formalizing separate editorial groups that was started under agenda item 8. Charges have been drafted to formalize the DCRM/RDA Revision Group and to establish a standing Standard Citations Forms Editorial Group to review new citation proposals. Due to time constraints, the group did not discuss the wording of the draft charges. A straw poll of those present, revealed the group is strongly in favor of moving forward with formalizing both DCRM/RDA Revision Group and Standard Citation Forms as separate groups. Schneider will post the draft charges to the listserv for further discussion.

11. DCRM for RDA Revision Group (Lapka)

Lapka began his report with a few administrative items. Nancy Lorimer has joined the editorial group to represent Music. Erin Blake has stepped down from the committee, and Ellen Cordes has joined to take her place as the Graphics representative. Lapka also reviewed the revision group’s meeting schedule for the remainder of the conference.

One of the biggest outstanding issues from the Midwinter conference is transcription. Morag Boyd, Lapka, and Leslie have formed a subgroup to look at these issues. They have completed their preliminary analysis of transcription principles in RDA, FRBR, and DCRM and hope to have a discussion paper out by the end of summer.

This spring the revision group conducted a survey of the rare materials cataloging community on possible implementation scenarios for DCRM2. Lapka briefly went over the results, which are available at http://dcrmrda.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/77604419/DCRM2_survey_summary.pdf. The responses indicate a preference for an implementation of DCRM2 (and an integration with the Toolkit) that generally mimics the implementation of the current suite of DCRM manuals (and their integration with AACR2 via the Cataloger’s Desktop). A couple additional findings of note: most responding institutions are using RDA for non-rare materials, but AACR/DCRM with rare materials, and a higher number of people expressed interest in a printed copy than Lapka had anticipated.
Lapka reported that the survey and possible implementation scenarios were a major topic of discussion at Friday’s meeting of the revision group. Jamie Hennelly of ALA Publishing joined the revision group to follow up the discussion he had with them at Midwinter. Hennelly clarified that in order to reuse RDA language in the text, DCRM2 would have to be made available through RDA subscription only. ALA publishing will essentially support whatever scenario the revision group thinks is best for the rare materials cataloging community, but would prefer an approach similar in structure to the LC-PCC PS. ALA Publishing is working on a new editing tool for supplemental content to the Toolkit that should be available in August. Hopefully by Midwinter the revision group will be able to see some examples of how DCRM2 content may look in the Toolkit. In light of ALA Publishing’s restrictions on freely reusing RDA content outside of the Toolkit, Bob Maxwell suggested taking a LC-PCC PS approach to DCRM2. In this model, instead of being a standalone text, DCRM2 would be a series of comments on the RDA text itself, including alternative instructions for rare materials. While this is contrary to the preferences expressed in the survey results, the idea gained support during the revision group’s discussion on Friday.

Lapka’s report on possible implementation scenarios sparked several questions and comments from those present at the BSC meeting. Responses were mixed, especially to the idea of structuring DCRM2 as a set of policy statements to RDA. Several people expressed concern that making DCRM2 content only available through RDA Toolkit subscription would make it inaccessible to smaller institutions and as result prevent DCRM from being wildly adopted, especially by non-library communities, who are used to freely available standards such as DACS and CCO. Others stated that taking a policy statement approach is a more sustainable workflow and being integrated into the Toolkit would increase the likelihood of implementation by libraries already using RDA. There was some questions raised on whether institutions that were not using RDA would have any interest in a DCRM revised for RDA or would be content to continue using the DCRM1 suite in conjunction with AACR2. Support was also given for petitioning the Joint Steering Committee to integrate the rare materials instructions developed by the Revision Group directly into the RDA text. When the discussion exhausted the allotted time, Lapka stated that discussion would be continued at the revision group’s meeting on Monday. [Update: At the Monday meeting, the revision group decided to take the policy statement approach to developing DCRM2.]

A summary of the DCRM2 meetings at ALA Annual—including discussions and decisions made at the revision group’s post BSC meetings—is available at http://dcrmrda.pbworks.com/w/page/82743940/2014%20Annual%3A%20DCRM2%20meetings

12. CC:DA Report (Lapka)

Lapka reported the CC:DA agenda item of most interest to BSC is Lapka and Elizabeth O’Keefe’s discussion paper on the problems with transcribing the production element, as prescribed under RDA. This discussion paper will be presented at CC:DA’s meeting on
Saturday afternoon. Lapka’s report on the CC:DA activities at ALA Annual is appended to the end of the minutes.

13. BSC-sponsored Preconference programs

a. Las Vegas (2014)

1. DCRM(G) workshop report

Sotelo, who attended the DCRM(G) preconference workshop, reported that it went very well.

2. Seminar report

Schneider report that the seminar “Back to the Future: The Reinvention of the Library Catalog, Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow” presented by Sotelo and Eric Miller was very well received. Participants had so many great questions for Miller that the session went over its allotted time.

b. Oakland, California (2015)

1. Workshop: DCRM(M) or (C)?

Jenny Nelson reported that Workshop Committee Chair, Jennifer MacDonald, is holding a slot for a DCRM module workshop. The consensus was that DCRM(M) would be the best module to do for 2015. Schneider will talk to Lorimer about arranging the workshop.

2. Seminar ideas: Dekydspotter/Boyd, Nelson

Lori Dekydspotter and Boyd submitted a seminar proposal on collaboration between public and technical services personnel to improve access. The seminar committee will review this proposal at their Saturday afternoon meeting.

Nelson has a half-formed idea for a potential seminar from the point of view of researchers, who are excited for bibliography and catalog records, that needs some more fleshing out for a future preconference.

Jackie Dooley suggested that due to interest in linked data that arose from Sotelo and Miller’s seminar that linked data would be a good topic for a discussion session. Jennifer Schaffner, who is chairing the preconference subcommittee on informal programming, will take this idea back to her committee. Schaffner also has an idea for a session about hacking rare book metadata.
c. 2016

1. Workshop:

Brandt feels that 2016 would be a good time to have preconference workshop on DCRM(C).

2. Seminar ideas:

Leslie has an idea for a seminar on authority work. She will propose in more detail at the Midwinter Meeting.

15. Acknowledgments

Before acknowledgements were given, several people asked to announce open positions at their institution.

Schneider acknowledged outgoing members, Ryan Hildebrand, Emily Epstein, Francis Lapka, Melanie McGurr, and Aislinn Sotelo, for their service to the committee. She then welcomed back Christine DeZelar-Tiedman, Linda Isaac, and Audrey Pearson, who have being reappointed to a second term. Schneider also welcomed the new incoming members in attendance: Jane Carpenter, Nancy Lorimer, and Asheleigh Perry.

16. Adjournment

Schneider adjourned the meeting at promptly 11:30.

Respectfully submitted by Michelle Mascaro.
Appendix A: BSC Directory of Internet Resources (Additions—June, 2014)

ADDITIONS:

http://www.bl.uk/bibliographic/pdfs/british_library_guide_to_name_authority_records.pdf  British Library Guide to Name Authority Records


http://logeion.uchicago.edu/about.html  Logeion--Greek and Latin Dictionaries

http://bvpb.mcu.es/es/catalogo_imagenes/grupo.cmd?path=35179  Nobilario de los conquistadores de Indias (1892)


https://www.flickr.com/photos/bookhistorian  Paul Dijstelberge’s collection of mostly 16th century initials and printer’s ornaments

http://www.bcu-lausanne.ch/domaines/sc-de-linformation/histoire-du-livre/ressources-a-distance-livre/bases-de-donnees-livre/  Links to databases for ornaments, binding and related topics


http://daten.digitale-sammlungen.de/~db/ausgaben/uni_ausgabe.html?projekt=1236933450&recherche=ja&ordnung=sig&l=en  Block books from Bavarian Collections

http://dla.library.upenn.edu/dla/medren/index.html  Penn in Hand: Selected Manuscripts from the University of Pennsylvania

CHANGES:
UCSD Mandeville Special Collections Library to http://tpot.ucsd.edu/metadata-services/mscl/index.html Special Collections Technical Services Policies

RDA Training Booklet (200 p.) by Marielle Veve, University of North Florida
http://digitalcommons.unf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1012&context=library_facpub

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/dcrm/dcrmtext.html DCRMB to http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmb/ Free PDF at:
http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmb/DCRMB3.pdf

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/amremm.shtml AMREMM to:
http://rbms.info/dcrm/amremm/

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/dcrm/dcrms/dcrms.html
DCRMS to: http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrms/

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/dcrm/dcrmm/dcrmm.shtml
DCRMM to: http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmm/

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/dcrm/dcrmmss/dcrrmss.html
DCRMMS to: http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmmss/

http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/dcrm/dcrmc/dcrmc.html
DCRMC to: http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmc/

CILIP UK Bibliographic Standards Committee to: http://www.cilip.org.uk/rare-books-and-special-collections-group/bibliographic-standards Includes the following sites:

Rare Books and Special Collections Group's Guidelines for the Cataloguing of Rare Books to:

From DCRB to DCRM(B) Not Found, Deleted

ARLIS/NA Cataloging Section to: http://www.arlisna.org/organization/sections/96-cataloging-section
ARLIS/NA Cataloging Advisory Committee
http://www.arlisna.org/organization/committees/76-cataloging-advisory-committee

Form/Genre Terms for Legal Materials - From AALL Not Found, Deleted

** Problems connecting to the MIT LC sites. Matter is under investigation.

LC's Information and Resources to prepare for RDA Deleted because duplicated under RDA Guidance
Niermeyer, Medieval Latin Lexicon, 1976  Deleted because “The item is not available due to issues with the item’s content.”

**  Glossary of Common Latin Terms Found in Imprints of Early Printed Books by Robert L. Maxwell  To:  
http://www.rbms.info/committees/bibliographic_standards/latin/glossary.html

Grimm's Deutsches Woerterbuch  to:  http://dwb.uni-trier.de/de/

Search form for over 350,000 artists, dealers.  To:  http://explore.rkd.nl/en/explore/artists  
The search box is in the upper left quadrant.  From the  Netherlands Institute for Art History

http://www4.rncan.gc.ca/search-place-names/name.php  Geographical Name Search Service (Canada) from older URL

If you know the day of the week and the day of the month and want the year … to:  
http://www.calendarhome.com/calculate  does everything but the headline, so retitled, 
CalendarHome.com Calculate

Universitaet Frankfurt Internetquellen zu Handschriften (inkl. Inkunabeln, Nachlaesse, AltenDrucke) -- by no means limited to manuscripts. See also Part 2.  Deleted Pt. 2 since it was absorbed into the main URL

Bernstein Memory of Paper project--Various tools, including a union catalog of the Stuttgart Picard, WILC, WZMA, and the Dutch Institute for Art History.  Added: Filigrana.

Watermark terms--A multilingual glossary  to:

Piccard Collection of Watermarks in the Hauptstaatsarchiv Stuttgart  Added “Includes
http://www.wasserzeichen-online.de/wzis/index.php  Wasserzeichen-Informationssystem”

Mark Godburn's Nineteenth Century Dust Jackets  Deleted- images were removed at request of the publisher of his book.

Seven Roads Gallery of Book Trade Labels  Deleted because duplicate to Gallery of Book Trade Labels

Scottish Book Trade Archive Inventory search form to:
http://www.chb.hss.ed.ac.uk/sbtau.htm

Historical Directories--19th century British commercial, trade and municipal directories  To:  
“Due to software and hardware obsolescence the original website through which these were accessed has been withdrawn.”

BCU Lausanne Banques de donnees d'ornements d'imprimerie de la Reserve précieuse--This site includes the following site among others goes to home page so Deleted. Fleuron still works.

List of Printers from the Utrecht University Library to: http://drukkers.library.uu.nl/


Uncorrected Proofs/Advance Copies Glossary to: http://www.lopezbooks.com/catalog/pr/static/?page=2

Palaeography, reading old handwriting, 1500-1800--An online tutorial Added: “from the National Archives UK”

Read Suetterlin/Read Blackletter (add s at end)

Greek ligatures to: http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/ikon/greekligs.asp

Greek abbreviations to: http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/ikon/greekabb.asp

Zentrales Verzeichnis Digitalisierter Drucke--Sponsored by Hochschulbibliothekszentrum des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen and others, including the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to: Digitalisierte Drucke http://www.digitalisiertedrucke.de/ changed text to Sponsored by Hochschulbibliothekszentrum des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen

Bayerische Staatsbibliothek Digital collections--Overview of other collections in the MDZ To: http://www.digital-collections.de/index.html?c=sammlungen&kategorie_sammlung=2&l=en Digital Collections: Old Printings (Bayerische Staatsbibliothek)

Emblem Related Websites--From the University of Glasgow. Changed title to: Sterling Maxwell Centre Emblem Studies—Click on French emblems project, Italian emblems projects, etc.

Online Emblem books from Pennsylvania State University Title Changed to: English Emblem Book Project – From Pennsylvania State University
**Codices Iconographici (CodIcon online)**—“Early modern illustrated codices from the Bayerische Staatsbibliothek. Search is here” Moved to Images of Medieval MSS section

**Bibliotheque Interuniversitaire de Medecine** Added: “Bibliothèque numérique Medic@” to title

**European Illustrated Book and Manuscripts, ca. 1400-1700**--From Takami Matsuda, Keio University Not Found--DELETED

**French Political Pamphlets 1547-1626 at Brigham Young University** To: http://lib.byu.edu/collections/french-political-pamphlets/

**Digital libraries with substantial pamphlet collections on law and society** http://www.rechtshistorie.nl/digital-collections/digital-libraries/pamphlets

**Baldwin Library of Historical Children’s Literature, University of Florida** To: http://cms.uflib.ufl.edu/baldwin/Index.aspx Search here http://ufdc.ufl.edu/juv

**American Sunday School Books**--American Memory To: http://digital.lib.msu.edu/projects/ssb/index.cfm


**“Annotated Books Online**--Requires guest registration very much in progress” Deleted phrase.

**Bibles from Sources Chretiennes**--Includes facsimiles of mss., various translations, concordances, etc. To: http://www.sources-chretiennes.mom.fr/upload/doc/Guide_Bible_internet.pdf

**The GW provides links to over 20 digitized copies of the Gutenberg Bible** Added “Check under Reproduktion”

**Gutenberg Bible Goettingen Copy** To: http://www.gutenbergdigital.de/gudi/eframes/index.htm

**Gutenberg Bible--University of Texas Ransom Center copy** To: http://www.hrc.utexas.edu/exhibitions/permanent/gutenbergbible/pages/#top

**English Short-Title Catalog 1473-1800** to: http://estc.bl.uk/F/N4D42E4C6AHIRSHQK7ID9BQYS1ECAY5DME4Q464P7GSTEHSX7K-00571?func=file&file_name=find-b&local_base=BLL06
MapHist Forum--Discussion list for the history of cartography with archives. Discussion papers are located here. Deleted the Discussion papers link. This e-list will close in Jan. 2015. I do not know what if anything will succeed it.

"Mapmakers" of the Ryhiner-Collection--brief notes on some 2,200 people NOT FOUND, Deleted.

David Rumsey Historical Map Collection Now the “David Rumsey Map Collection”

Ryhiner Collection in Bern--more than 16,000 digitized maps from the Ryhiner Collection in Bern to:
http://www.ub.unibe.ch/content/suchen_finden/digitale_sammlungen/karten/ryh_de/liste/index_ger.html?group=volume

Wisconsin Historical Society Maps Online To:

Vocabulaire codicologique--From IRHT to: http://codicologia.irht.cnrs.fr/ Codicologia from IHRT, mostly terminologies

Schoenberg Database of Manuscripts at the University of Pennsylvania to http://dla.library.upenn.edu/dla/schoenberg/index.html

An International Catalogue of Mediaeval Scientific Manuscripts NOT FOUND, DELETED

Delicious Recent Manuscripts Tag Deleted, too much noise

Manuscripts, Paleography, Codicology from Georgetown’s Labyrinth--Includes links to many images to:
https://blogs.commons.georgetown.edu/labyrinth/categories/manuscripts/

Schoenberg database of manuscripts at the University of Pennsylvania Deleted, because already listed in Cataloging of Medieval MS. Replaced by “Penn in hand”

Bibliotheque municipal de Lyon. Manuscrits merovingiens et carolingiens If you get a Connection Reset, just click the message and the page will load.

Codices Electronici Sangallenses--Currently over 436 manuscripts from Saint Gall Changed to “500” manuscripts

Credits: “Special Collections Librarian,” changed to currently “Department Head of the Archives and Special Collections Department”

Submitted by Laurence S. Creider
Appendix B: Draft Charge Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group

July 2014

Charge: Under the aegis of the RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee (BSC), the Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group is responsible for Controlled Vocabularies for Use in Rare Book and Special Collections Cataloging. The group will develop and maintain content and access of the RBMS controlled vocabularies.

Membership [as approved by BSC in April, 2014]: This group will be co-edited by a member of Bibliographic Standards Committee and a member of the Publications & Communications Committee. One editor, appointed by the vice chair/chair elect in consultation with the chair of the Bibliographic Standards Committee, will serve on the Bibliographic Standards Committee and be responsible for content development and editing. The other editor, appointed by the vice chair/chair elect in consultation with the chair of the Publications & Communications Committee and the Bibliographic Standards Committee, will serve on the Publications & Communications Committee with the sole responsibility of creation and maintenance of the infrastructure containing the RBMS Controlled Vocabularies. The appointments will be new two-year term appointments apart from his or her original appointment to the Bibliographic Standards Committee and/or the Publications Committee, and may be renewed once for an additional two years.
Appendix C: CC:DA Report

The full agenda for the CC:DA meeting at Annual, with related reports and documents, is available on the CC:DA website: http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1102

The following selections from the agenda may be of particular interest to the Bibliographic Standards Committee.

1. From the Library of Congress Report to CC:DA

“Cataloger's Desktop: Library of Congress staff are currently working with our Cataloger’s Desktop contractor to overhaul and simplify Desktop’s user interface. The interface will be migrating to a “search first” approach that should align much more closely with how catalogers and metadata librarians do their work. All current functionality will be retained, but the user interface should be easier and more intuitive to use. It is anticipated that the new user interface will be implemented in mid-September.”

“Free Access to Cataloging Documentation: In early 2013, the Library of Congress announced that it is transitioning to online only publication of its cataloging documentation that was formerly available in print. On July 1, 2014, the sale of all remaining inventory of CDS print publications will end. The printing of new editions of subject heading and classification documentation has now ceased, and all new editions and updates will be freely available on LC’s Acquisitions and Bibliographic Access website (http://www.loc.gov/aba). Documentation will continue to be available through Cataloger’s Desktop, LC’s subscription-based online documentation service. Cataloger’s Desktop provides browsing and keyword searching of over 300 cataloging and metadata resources. It is updated quarterly.”

Note: Thanks to the above, a PDF of DCRM(Serials) will now be freely available on rbms.info, joining DCRM(Books) and DCRM(Graphics).

“Bibliographic Framework Initiative: ... After an active year of experimentation with the high level model published by the Library in November 2012 and work by a group of “Early Experimenters” (George Washington University, National Library of Medicine, Princeton University, OCLC, British Library, and Deutsche Nationalbibliothek, in addition to LC), a new phase of the project began in 2014. This phase, scheduled for at least a year or two, is for test implementation by organizations in the community using the RDF-based vocabulary that will be kept relatively stable for the testing period. The testers use the vocabulary that is published on the BIBFRAME site and experiment with the model against various environments, exchanging issues and information. This group is open to all who show that they are actually engaging in test implementations. The focus of the
Network Development/MARC Standards Office turned to coding a basic BIBFRAME Editor (BFE) that enables creation of BIBFRAME descriptions. It was made available for download in April. It is emphasized that it is intended not as a fully functional system but a very basic module from which developers could more easily experiment with aspects of the BIBFRAME model and vocabulary. A new testbed activity was organized. This testbed “group” is open to all, but participants must be engaged in an actual project to join. Director for Acquisitions and Bibliographic ...

2. From the report of the ALA Representative to the Joint Steering Committee

“The JSC expects to discuss the CoP [Committee of Principles of RDA] strategy and governance topics at its fall meeting (scheduled for Washington DC, November 3-7), along with the usual assortment of proposals and discussion papers from the various JSC constituencies and working groups. Potential big changes for RDA could be included in the scope of these discussions, including: the design and arrangement of the RDA Toolkit; internationalization and translations; the impact of the Registry; and use of RDA for linked data.

Deadlines for CC:DA in relation to the November 2014 JSC meeting:
- July 18: Last day for CC:DA to vote to approve proposals and discussion papers originating from ALA
- September 19: Last day for CC:DA to vote to approve ALA responses to constituency proposals and discussion papers”

3. Proposals and working documents, of possible BSC interest

Proposal: Clarifying instructions for Sequences of Plates (RDA 3.4.5.9)
[http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1058](http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1058)

The proposed revision takes a new approach to presenting the instructions for recording sequences of plates which:
- Clarifies that this instruction applies only to plates that do not form part of either the preliminary or main sequences of leaves, plates, or columns
- Specifies where to record the sequence or sequences of plates
- Removes the exception for early printed resources, since the revision of the general instructions addresses these specific needs
- Creates separate sub-instructions for numbered and unnumbered sequences of plates
- Offers an option to record unnumbered sequences of plates if important for identification or selection
• Provides specific instructions for how to record unnumbered sequences of plates

The proposal also contains a revision of wording to the Glossary definition of “plate”.

Note: The proposal will go forward to JSC, amended by some of the suggestions I submitted on the CC:DA blog.

Proposal: Basic Instructions on Recording Statements of Responsibility (RDA 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.17.3, 7.23, 7.24)
http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1070

The proposed revision to RDA would:
• Remove the instructions in chapter 2 that exclude performers, narrators, and presenters, and those performing artistic or technical roles from the SOR (2.4.1.1)
• Add an Alternative in 2.4.1.6 to record information about responsible entities (other than the first SOR, which is Core) in a note (with a reference to 2.17.3.5)
• Add examples of classical performers and a popular recording artist as statements of responsibility relating to the title proper (2.4.2.3) to reflect that this would now be allowed for classical performers and would continue to be allowed for performers of popular music as it was in AACR2
• Delete the elements in chapter 7 for performers, narrators, and presenters, and persons performing artistic or technical roles (7.23 and 7.24) and the glossary definitions for those elements
• Make some examples of performers, etc. from 7.23 and 7.24 into examples of notes on statement of responsibility (2.17.3.5)

Note: The proposal will go forward to JSC.

Discussion paper: Production Statement (RDA 2.7) transcription guidelines
http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1098

The discussion paper, jointly prepared by the liaisons for RBMS and ARLIS/NA (and chiefly authored by Elizabeth O’Keefe) argues that an emphasis on transcription is inappropriate for elements within the Production Statement. It tentatively recommends:

• Revise the guidelines of RDA so that the Production Statement (2.7) is treated as a set of non-transcribed elements.
To accommodate the transcription of production information, revise RDA guidelines so that such information is recorded in 2.17.6 Note on Production Statement.

*Note: The proposal will go forward to JSC. It may be modified to briefly note other areas of RDA that prescribe transcription for unpublished resources.*

**Proposal: Using the mark of omission in preferred titles (RDA 6.2)**

[http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1089](http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1089)

For description, RDA contains specific instructions about embedded numbering in titles (RDA 2.3.1.4, Exceptions, Date, name, number, etc., that varies from issue to issue; and RDA 2.12.2.3, Exception). In both cases, numbering that varies from issue to issue or part to part is omitted from the transcription of the title, and the mark of omission is prescribed instead.

However, for access, the instructions on determining the preferred title in RDA 6.2 lack similar guidance. The proposal below adds a new section at the end of RDA 6.2 which parallels the Chapter 2 treatment of such titles. The proposal also provides an updated instruction reference in RDA 6.2.1.3 to accommodate the new sub-instruction.

*Note: The proposal will go forward to JSC, with light revisions.*

Submitted by Francis Lapka, RBMS Liaison to CC:DA